Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/048,033	SHEPARD, H. MICHAEL	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Lawrence E. Crane	1623	
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Response After Final Rejection</u> <u>received</u>		
(1) <u>Lawrence E. Crane</u> .	(3)		
(2) Antionette Konski (Voice Mail).	(4)		
Date of Interview: 9 May 2008	Time:		
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Appli  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	icant's representative)		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: None			
Claims discussed: 20,21,34 and 35			
Prior art documents discussed: None			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN Applicant was advised that the claims remaining in the instant would be passed to issue in due course.			
Part III.			
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. To the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summ</li> </ul>	The examiner will provide a written record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview	
/Lawrence E. Crane/ Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1623	(Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)	